



**Budget and Resources Subcommittee  
Economic & Workforce Development  
October 3, 2012**



---

**I. Vice Chancellor Van Ton-Quinlivan called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m.**

**II. Members Present:**

- ✓ Ms. Russia Chavis, Deputy Secretary for Housing and Real Estate, Business, Transportation and Housing Agency
- ✓ Mr. Jeff Cummings, Dean, Career & Technical Education and Economic Development, College of the Redwoods
- ✓ Dr. Debra Jones, Dean, Career Education Practices Unit, Workforce & Economic Development
- ✓ Mr. Wheeler North, Academic Senate, San Diego Miramar College
- ✓ Ms. Laura Ramirez, Dean, Career and Technical Education, East Los Angeles Colleges
- ✓ Ms. Catherine Swenson, Staff to Committee, Director, Contract Education, Workforce & Economic Development
- ✓ Ms. Van Ton-Quinlivan, Vice Chancellor, Workforce & Economic Development
- ✓ Mr. Jeff Williamson, Statewide Director, International Trade Development

**Also Present:**

- ✓ Pamela Daggon

**III. Discussion EWD Program 2013-14 Expenditure Plan**

- The 2013-14 Expenditure Plan targets investment toward three areas (sectors, regions, and technical assistance).
- The plan is based on the framework in which EWD will operate which was presented in the Power Point slides at the EDPAC on August 29, 2012.
- The money for the plan is based off of the Prop 98 funds, categoricals.
- The sector needs will be met by the regions deciding on what is relevant.
- The plan is built with flexibility to react to emergent sector needs. There would be an annual review of regional data.
- The allocation of funds for the RFA process will be competitive in both regional and sector perspectives. The RFAs will be written as regional priorities. The dollars are planned to be targeted to sectors in regions. How to prioritize the sectors will be written in "Guidance Chapters". The Chapters in draft form will be released to the committee for review prior to release.
- Some of the comments given by the committee were: 1. Grants awarded on annual basis does not give enough time and stability for institutions to invest; 2. How do you marry CTE and transitions with the capacity allocated? 3. The pots of money for grants will not stretch far; 4. Other intersections emerging in the regions need broader definition; 5. What would be the best way to reurpose structures as mainstreams evolve?

- The process for tackling priority sectors will happen in two ways: 1. the faculty will engage in handling of curriculum; and, 2. the consortia structure will focus on knowledge communities of regional needs.
- The committee suggested adding discussion points in the RFAs: 1. How to make adjustments region wide; 2. Point out how to access market; 3. To ensure that needs get met in regions after regions have identified the three priorities, accept applications for the projects identified; 4. There is hope that funds would not only be annual. It was suggested to have annual with option to renew; 5. There needs to be a drive on the notion of braiding funds.
- It was asked how to design the merge of credit and noncredit for RFA process. The suggestion was to look at short term intermediate and long term commitments to particular sectors. Then develop a process that would be statewide. Have programs of short term and long term enhance/align with each other. For those seeking short term grant money, there should be a plan addressing alignment/transition into one to two year certificates. It was suggested that on situational needs, there should also be curriculum for short term needs that will not be ongoing.
- There will be a connection between regional expertise and sector expertise.

The committee agreed and requested that the Expenditure Plan be moved forward.

### **Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 10:09 a.m.